

**RÖSSING URANIUM LIMITED  
MEETING WITH MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENTAL & TOURISM  
(DIRECTORATE ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS)**

<b>DATE</b>	Tuesday, 18 October 2012
<b>VENUE:</b>	Windhoek, MET Offices
<b>PROJECT:</b>	Rössing Uranium: Mining of the Z20 Uranium Deposit – SEIA process
<b>PURPOSE:</b>	<p>The purpose of the meeting was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• provide information on the proposed project</li> <li>• discuss the proposed SEIA process to be followed</li> <li>• submit the two application forms</li> <li>• provide information on the public participation process</li> <li>• obtain initial comments on the project and the proposed SEIA process</li> </ul>
<b>ATTENDANCE:</b>	Saima Angula (MET:DEA) & Werner Petrick (SLR)

**1. OPEN**

Werner Petrick (WP) thanked Saima Angula (SA) for making the time available to meet with SLR regarding the proposed project and the SEIA process.

**2. PRESENTATION**

WP presented the proposed project to SA by referring to the background information document (BID). He further described the process to be followed for completing the SEIA process and specifically explained that two applications for authorisation are submitted (refer to point 3 below) for the following reasons:

- The SEIA process for the infrastructure corridor will be completed after the Scoping phase.
- The rest of the project components will be further assessed during the next phase (assessment phase).
- MET should therefore be in a position to make a decision on the infrastructure corridor after the scoping phase.

WP explained that during the screening phase the SEIA Team studied existing information in quite some detail. (i.e. previous SEIAs in the area, Rössing monitoring results, information provided by supplier of the conveyor, etc.). The SEIA Team in liaison with Rössing Uranium determined that the infrastructure corridor can be subject to a Scoping phase only, taking the following into consideration:

- The potential social and environmental impacts relating to this type of activity (linear infrastructure) is fairly well understood;
- the receiving socio-economic and biophysical environment have been studied and contextualised in detail; and
- Additional input/assessment requirements from environmental specialists have been identified and will be included in the Scoping Report. These will be supplemented (where required) by input from I&APs during the PPP.

- A stand-alone EMP will be developed for the infrastructure corridor.

### **3. SUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS FOR AUTHORISATION**

WP submitted the two applications for authorisation:

1. The proposed mining of the Z20 resource by Rössing Uranium Ltd.
2. The Infrastructure corridor associated with the proposed mining of the Z20 resource by Rössing Uranium Ltd.

See attached application forms with proof of submission.

### **4. DISCUSSION**

SA indicated that she does not have any immediate issues/concerns at this stage and that she understands what is proposed. She also indicated that she does not have any objections to the proposed SEIA process but that the review team will have the final say once they review the SEIA reports at a later stage.

### **5. WAY FORWARD**

WP provided information regarding the proposed public participation process (Scoping phase) and the various public- and focus group meetings planned for next week. Thereafter the Scoping Report will be prepared (including the assessment of the infrastructure corridor) and distributed for IAP review. Thereafter it will be finalised and submitted to MET:DEA for their review and decision on the infrastructure corridor and the terms of reference for further assessment of the other project components (i.e. mining of the Z20 resource, modification to the existing process plant, etc.).

### **6. CLOSE**

WP thanked SA for her time and closed the meeting.